GUARDRAIL TESTING – MODIFIED ECCENTRIC LOADER TERMINAL (MELT) AT NCHRP 350 TL-2

Dean C. Alberson, Wanda L. Menges, and Rebecca R. Haug

Prepared for The New England Transportation Consortium

July 2002

NETCR 35 Project No. 00-5

This report, prepared in cooperation with the New England Transportation Consortium, does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The contents of this report reflect the views of the author(s) who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views of the New England Transportation Consortium or the Federal Highway Administration.

Technical Report Documentation Page . Government Accession No 3. Recipient's Catalog No. 1. Report No. N/A N/A NETCR 35 4. Title and Subtitle 5. Report Date July 2002 **GUARDRAIL TESTING - MODIFIED ECCENTRIC LOADER** TERMINAL (MELT) AT NCHRP 350 TI-2 6. Performing Organization Code N/A 7. Author(s) 8. Performing Organization Report No. Dean C. Alberson, Wanda L. Menges, and Rebecca R. Haug N/A 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10 Work Unit No. (TRAIS) **Texas Transportation Institute** Safety and Structural Systems N/A Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135 11. Contract or Grant No. N/A 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address **Final Report** New England Transportation Consortium April 2000-May 2002 179 Middle Turnpike University of Connecticut, U-5202 Storrs, CT 06269-5202 14. Sponsoring Agency Code **NETC 00-5** 15 Supplementary Research Study Title: Guardrail Testing-Modified Eccentric Loader Terminal (MELT) at NCHRP TL-2 Name of Contacting Representative: Gerry McCarthy, Coordinator, NETC The objective of this study, as stated in the NETC request for proposal is to "...conduct the testing needed for FHWA consideration of the acceptability of the NETC MELT... at NCHRP Report 350 Test Level 2 (TL-2) criteria, and to document the testing and the results of the testing in sufficient detail for FHWA consideration. The ultimate goal is to achieve FHWA approval of the NETC MELT as an approved TL-2 guardrail terminal." NCHRP Report 350 TL-2 evaluates the impact performance of the guardrail terminal when impacted by a vehicle traveling 70 km/h (43.5 mi/h) rather than, as previously tested, the TL-3 impact speed of 100 km/h (62.2 mi/h). NETC contracted to perform NCHRP Report 350 test designations 2-30 and 2-31. NCHRP Report 350 test designation 2-30 involves an 820-kg passenger car impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 0 degree with the quarter point of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose (i.e., end post) of the terminal. This test is intended primarily to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria. NCHRP Report 350 test designation 2-31 involves a 2000-kg pickup truck impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 0 degree with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose (i.e., end post) of the terminal. This test is intended primarily to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria. Reported herein are the details of the NETC MELT installation, description of the two full-scale crash tests performed, and the results and assessment of those tests. The NETC MELT performed acceptably for NCHRP Report 350 test designations 2-30 and 2-31. 17 Key Words 18 Distribution Statement Terminal, MELT, modified eccentric loader No restrictions. This document is available to the public through the terminal, end treatment, crash testing, roadside National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161. safety 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 21. No. of Pages 21. Price Unclassified Unclassified 75 Reproduction of completed page authorized Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS

APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS

APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS

					181				
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol	Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find	Symbol
		LENGTH					LENGTH		
in	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm	mm	millimeters	0.039	inches	in
ft	feet	0.305	meters	m	m	meters	3.28	feet	ft
yd	yards	0.914	meters	m	m	meters	1.09	yards	yd
mi	miles	1.61	kilometers	km	km	kilometers	0.621	miles	mi
		AREA					AREA		
in ²	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm ²	mm ²	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	in²
ft ²	square feet	0.093	square meters	m ²	m²	square meters	10.764	square feet	ft ²
yd²	square yards	0.836	square meters	m ²	m ²	square meters	1.195	square yards	yd²
ac	acres	0.405	hectares	ha	ha	hectares	2.47	acres	ac
mi²	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	km ²	km²	square kilometers	0.386	square miles	mi²
		VOLUME					VOLUME		
fl oz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	mL	mL	milliliters	0.034	fluid ounces	fl oz
gal	gallons	3.785	liters	L	L	liters	0.264	gallons	gal
ft ³	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters	m ³	m ³	cubic meters	35.71	cubic feet	ft ³
yd ³	cubic yards	0.765	cubic meters	m ³	m ³	cubic meters	1.307	cubic yards	yd ³
	NOTE: Volumes gre	eater than 1000	L shall be shown in m	3.					
		MASS					MASS		_
oz	ounces	28.35	grams	g	g	grams		ounces	oz
lb	pounds	0.454	kilograms	kg	kg	kilograms		pounds	lb
Т	short tons	0.907	megagrams	Mg	Mg	megagrams		short tons	Т
	(2000 lb)		(or "metric ton")	(or "t)	(or "t)	(or "metric ton")		(2000 lb)	
	TEMPERATURE (exact)					TEMPERATURE (exact)			_
°F	Fahrenheit	5(F-32)/9 or	Celsius	°C	°C	Celsius	1.8C+32	Fahrenheit	°F
	temperature	(F-32)/1.8	temperature			temperature		temperature	
	ILLUMINATION					ILLUMINATION			
fC	foot-candles	10.76	lux	Ix	Ix	lux	0.0929	foot-candles	fc
fl	foot-Lamberts	3.426	candela/m ²	cd/m ²	cd/m ²	candela/m ²	0.2919	foot-Lamberts	fl
	FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS					FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS			
lbf	poundforce	4.45	newtons	N	N	newtons	0.225	poundforce	lbf
lbf/in ²	, poundforce per	6.89	kilopascals	kPa	kPa	kilopascals	0.145	poundforce per	lbf/in ²
	square inch		•			•		square inch	

*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380.

∷⊒

(Revised September 1993)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section

INTRODUCTION PROBLEM	1 1
OBJECTIVES/SCOPE OF RESEARCH	2
TECHNICAL DISCUSSION	3
TEST PARAMETERS	3
Test Facility	3
Test Article – Design and Construction	3
Test Conditions	8
Evaluation Criteria	9
CRASH TEST 400401-1 (NCHRP REPORT 350 TEST NO. 2-30)	. 11
Test Vehicle	. 11
Soil and Weather Conditions	. 11
Impact Description	. 11
Damage to Test Article	. 14
Vehicle Damage	. 14
Occupant Risk Factors	. 14
Assessment of Test Results	. 20
CRASH TEST 400401-2 (NCHRP REPORT 350 TEST NO. 2-31)	. 23
Test Vehicle	. 23
Soil and Weather Conditions	. 23
Impact Description	. 23
Damage to Test Article	. 26
Vehicle Damage	. 26
Occupant Risk Factors	. 26
Assessment of Test Results	. 32
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	. 35
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	. 35
NCHRP Report 350 Test 2-30	. 35
NCHRP Report 350 Test 2-31	. 35
CONCLUSIONS	. 35
APPENDIX A. CRASH TEST PROCEDURES AND DATA ANALYSIS	. 39
ELECTRONIC INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA PROCESSING	. 39
ANTHORPOMORPHIC DUMMY INSTRUMENTATION	. 40
PHOTOGRAPHIC INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA PROCESSING.	. 40
TEST VEHICLE PROPULSION AND GUIDANCE	. 40
APPENDIX B. TEST VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION	. 41
APPENDIX C. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	. 47

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

Section	<u>Page</u>
APPENDIX D. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS AND ACCELERATIONS	
REFERENCES	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1	Layout of the NETC-MELT installation.	4
2	NETC-MELT installation prior to testing.	5
3	Details of the NETC-MELT	6
4	NETC-MELT prior to testing.	7
5	Vehicle/installation geometrics for test 400401-1.	12
6	Vehicle before test 400401-1	13
7	Vehicle trajectory after test 400401-1	15
8	Installation after test 400401-1.	16
9	Vehicle after test 400401-1.	17
10	Interior of vehicle for test 400401-1.	18
11	Summary of results for test 400401-1, NCHRP Report 350 test 2-30	19
12	Vehicle/installation geometrics for test 400401-2.	24
13	Vehicle before test 400401-2.	25
14	Vehicle trajectory after test 400401-2	27
15	Installation after test 400401-2.	28
16	Vehicle after test 400401-2.	29
17	Interior of vehicle for test 400401-2.	30
18	Summary of results for test 400401-2, NCHRP Report 350 test 2-31	31
19	Vehicle properties for test 400401-1	41
20	Vehicle properties for test 400401-2	44
21	Sequential photographs for test 400401-1	
	(overhead and frontal views)	47
22	Sequential photographs for test 400401-1	
	(rear view).	49
23	Sequential photographs for test 400401-2	
	(overhead and frontal views)	50
24	Sequential photographs for test 400401-2	
	(rear view).	52
25	Vehicle angular displacements for test 400401-1	53
26	Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 400401-1	
	(accelerometer located at center of gravity)	54
27	Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 400401-1	
	(accelerometer located at center of gravity)	55
28	Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 400401-1	
	(accelerometer located at center of gravity)	56
29	Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 400401-1	
	(accelerometer located over rear axle).	57
30	Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 400401-1	
	(accelerometer located over rear axle)	58
31	Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 400401-1	
	(accelerometer located over rear axle)	59
32	Vehicle angular displacements for test 400401-2.	60

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

<u>Figure</u>

33	Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 400401-2	
	(accelerometer located at center of gravity)	61
34	Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 400401-2	
	(accelerometer located at center of gravity)	
35	Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 400401-2	
	(accelerometer located at center of gravity)	63
36	Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 400401-2	
	(accelerometer located over rear axle)	64
37	Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 400401-2	
	(accelerometer located over rear axle).	65
38	Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 400401-2	
	(accelerometer located over rear axle)	66

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.

Performance evaluation summary for test 400401-1,	
NCHRP Report 350 test 2-30.	
Performance evaluation summary for test 400401-2,	
NCHRP Report 350 test 2-31.	
Exterior crush measurements for test 400401-1.	
Occupant compartment measurements for test 400401-1	
Exterior crush measurements for test 400401-2.	
Occupant compartment measurements for test 400401-2	
	Performance evaluation summary for test 400401-1, <i>NCHRP Report 350</i> test 2-30 Performance evaluation summary for test 400401-2, <i>NCHRP Report 350</i> test 2-31 Exterior crush measurements for test 400401-1 Occupant compartment measurements for test 400401-1 Exterior crush measurements for test 400401-2 Occupant compartment measurements for test 400401-2

INTRODUCTION

PROBLEM

The safe termination of guardrails has been a challenge ever since the risk of impacting the exposed end of the beam was identified. An untreated rail end exposes the errant vehicle to the potential of the rail spearing the vehicle, intruding into the occupant compartment, and bringing the vehicle to a violently abrupt stop. Crashworthy termination of a guardrail installation is essential anytime a guardrail is terminated within the clear zone of the highway. Methodologies for treating the terminal end of a guardrail include, but are not limited to, turning down the end, burying into a backslope, flaring away from the travel-way, and dissipating energy with heads that mount to the end of the rail element.

Twisting and turning the rail end down creates vehicle instability when struck end-on and may produce rolling and/or vaulting of the errant vehicle that impacts the start of the rail installation. Burying the exposed end of a rail element into a backslope is crashworthy, but not always practical due to the additional space and fill material that may be required.

The safety performance of the breakaway cable terminal (BCT)family of flared/buffered end-terminals (i.e., BCT, eccentric loader terminal (ELT), modified eccentric loader terminal (MELT)) are very sensitive to installation errors. The BCT in particular has exhibited poor performance when struck head-on by an 820 kg (1808 lb) passenger vehicle at speeds as low as 70 km/h.⁽¹⁻⁴⁾ When impacted head-on by an errant small passenger vehicle, the rail initially buckles at or near post number two or three. As the nose of the rail swings away from the vehicle, an elbow is formed in the rail. The eccentric impact of the vehicle with the buffered end and post number one induces a yaw rotation which exposes the side of the vehicle to the elbow in the rail. The impact of the side of the vehicle with the elbow and post generally results in excessive intrusion into the occupant compartment at the driver=s door or rear passenger compartment. This type of behavior was exhibited by the BCT guardrail terminal under both TL-2 and TL-3 impact conditions.

Numerous proprietary guardrail terminals have been developed and successfully crash tested to guidelines in National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) *Report 350* "Recommended Procedures for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Features." ⁽⁵⁾ A proprietary flared back guardrail terminal with a buffered end was developed to solve many of the problems associated with the MELT and BCT terminals. The slotted rail terminal (SRT) controls the lengths and location of the buckled rail sections by placing control slots into the rail elements that effectively reduce the column strength of the rail.⁽⁶⁻⁸⁾ In addition, proprietary energy absorbing heads that are mounted to the end of the guardrail element have also been developed and successfully crash tested to *NCHRP Report 350* standards.⁽⁹⁻¹²⁾ These guardrail terminal heads remove kinetic energy by either plastically deforming the W-beam rail element in a controlled manner or shearing the rail metal longitudinally.

Despite the development of new guardrail terminals that meet the criteria of *NCHRP Report 350*, thousands of flared/buffered end-terminals, such as the MELT, BCT and ELT, are

still in service along the highways. The capital cost to the States to replace the existing installations is phenomenal. In addition, FHWA policy in regard to crash testing highway safety appurtenances has resulted in most hardware being tested only to Test Level 3 (TL-3). This has left non-proprietary hardware that may be obsolete by TL-3 standards also unavailable for use at Test Level 2 (TL-2) sites.

OBJECTIVES/SCOPE OF RESEARCH

The objective of this study, as stated in the NETC request for proposal, is to "...conduct the testing needed for FHWA consideration of the acceptability of the NETC MELT ... at *NCHRP Report 350* TL-2 criteria, and to document the testing and the results of the testing in sufficient detail for FHWA consideration. The ultimate goal is to achieve FHWA approval of the NETC MELT as an approved TL-2 guardrail terminal." *NCHRP Report 350* Test Level 2 evaluates the impact performance of the guardrail terminal when impacted by a vehicle traveling 70 km/h (43.5 mi/h) rather than, as previously tested, the TL-3 impact speed of 100 km/h (62.2 mi/h).

NETC contracted to perform *NCHRP Report 350* test designations 2-30 and 2-31. *NCHRP Report 350* test designation 2-30 involves an 820-kg passenger car impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 0 degree with the quarter point of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose (i.e., end post) of the terminal. This test is intended primarily to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria. *NCHRP Report 350* test designation 2-31 involves a 2000-kg pickup truck impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 0 degree with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose (i.e., end post) of the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 0 degree with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose (i.e., end post) of the terminal. This test is intended primarily to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria.

Reported herein are the details of the NETC MELT installation, descriptions of the two full-scale crash tests performed, and the results and assessments of those tests.

TECHNICAL DISCUSSION

TEST PARAMETERS

Test Facility

The test facilities at the Texas Transportation Institute's Proving Ground consist of an 809-hectare complex of research and training facilities situated 16 km northwest of the main

campus of Texas A&M University. The site, formerly an Air Force Base, has large expanses of concrete runways and parking aprons well suited for experimental research and testing in the areas of vehicle performance and handling, vehicle-roadway interaction, durability and efficacy of highway pavements, and safety evaluation of roadside safety hardware. The site selected for construction of the NETC MELT (as shown in the adjacent photo) is along a wide out-of-service airfield apron. The apron consists of an unreinforced jointed concrete pavement in 3.8 m by 4.6 m blocks nominally 203-305 mm deep. The aprons and runways are about 50 years old and the joints have some displacement, but are otherwise flat and level.



Test Article – Design and Construction

The test installation consisted of 30.5 m (100 ft) of the steel post, routed wood blockout, W-beam (modified G4(1S)) guardrail system with a NETC MELT terminal installed on the impact end and a LET terminal on the downstream end, for a total installation length of 53.3 m (175 ft). A schematic of the test installation is shown in figure 1 and photographs of the test installation are shown in figure 2.

The modified G4(1S) guardrail system consisted of 1830 mm (6 ft) long, W150x13 (W6x8.5) steel posts with 356 mm (14 in) long routed offset blocks spaced 1905 mm (6 ft-3 in) on center. (NOTE: Most manufacturers are supplying W150x13 (W6x8.5) posts in place of the W150x14 (W6x9). Therefore, W150x13 (W6x8.5) posts were used as this would be the critical case.) The152 mm by 203 mm nominal (6 in by 8 in) routed wood blockouts and 3810 mm (12 ft-6 in) long 12-gauge W-beam rail elements were attached to the posts with 15.9-mm (5/8-in) diameter button head bolts without any washers. The height of the guardrail to the center of the W-beam rail element was 550 mm (21.7 in).

Drawings of the NETC MELT were provided by the Vermont Agency of Transportation. Figure 3 shows a schematic of the NETC MELT terminal as constructed and tested. Photographs of the terminal are shown in figure 4. The NETC MELT terminal had a total length of 11.4 m (37 ft-6 in), consisting of two 1905-mm (6 ft-3 in) spans at the beginning of the terminal, followed by six 1270-mm (4 ft-2 in) spans. This transitioned into the modified G4(1S) guardrail system. The height to the center of the W-beam rail element in the terminal section was 550 mm (21.7 in). The end of the terminal was flared 1220 mm (4 ft) from the tangent section of the

Figure 1. Layout of the NETC-MELT installation.



4









Figure 2. NETC-MELT installation prior to testing.



Figure 3. Details of the NETC-MELT.







Figure 4. NETC-MELT prior to testing.

guardrail and the flare was affected over the first 11.4 m (37 ft-6 in) with offsets of 1220, 635, 355, 200, 100, 65, 30, and 15 mm (4.0, 2.08, 1.16, 0.66, 0.33, 0.21, 0.1, and 0.05 ft) for posts 1 through 8, respectively. Note that the first 3810-mm (12 ft-6 in) section of the W-beam rail element for the end terminal was shop curved to a radius of 11.5 m (38 ft) over the first 1.9 m (6 ft-3 in) and to a radius of 27.m (90 ft) over the second 1.9 m (6 ft-3 in). The second 3810-mm (12 ft-6 in) section of the W-beam rail element for the end terminal was shop curved to a radius of 27.m (90 ft) over the second 1.9 m (6 ft-3 in).

The buffered nosepiece had two bolt-on diaphragms. Posts 1 and 2 were breakaway wooden posts installed in 1525 mm (5 ft) long, TS 152 mm by 203 mm by 4.8 mm (TS 6 in by 8 in by 0.1875 in) steel foundation tubes with 460 mm by 610 mm by 6 mm (18 in by 24 in by 1/4 in) soil plates. A 160 mm by 50 mm (6 in by 2 in) channel strut connected the two foundation tubes at ground level for increased anchorage capacity. The posts were 1110 mm (43 in) long with cross-sectional dimensions of 140 mm by 190 mm (5-1/2 in by 7-1/2 in). A 64-mm (2 1/2-in) diameter hole was drilled through these posts at ground level to facilitate breaking of the posts upon impact. The second post (post 2) was not bolted to the W-beam rail element, but rested on a shelf angle attached to the post.

Posts 3 through 8 in the terminal section were 1830 mm (6 ft) long wooden breakaway line posts or Controlled Release Terminal (CRT) posts and the W-beam rail element was not bolted onto these posts. The W-beam rail element was bolted at the end post (post 1) and the n the next bolted post was post 9 for an unsupported rail length of 11.4 m (37 ft-6 in). However, it should be noted that the rail element was supported by a shelf angle at the second post (post 2) and W-beam backup plates at posts 4, 5, 7, and 8. Although standard line spacing of 1905 mm (6 ft-3 in) started at post 9, the first standard line post began with post 10.

Test Conditions

According to *NCHRP Report 350*, a total of up to seven crash tests may be required for evaluation of a gating guardrail terminal under test level 2 (TL-2) conditions, which are listed as follows:

- 1. NCHRP Report 350 test designation 2-30: An 820-kg passenger car impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 0 degree with the quarter point of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose (i.e., end post) of the terminal. This test is intended primarily to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria.
- 2. NCHRP Report 350 test designation 2-31: A 2000-kg pickup truck impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 0 degree with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose (i.e., end post) of the terminal. This test is intended primarily to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria.
- 3. NCHRP Report 350 test designation 2-32: An 820-kg passenger car impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 15 degrees with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the

centerline of the nose (i.e., end post) of the terminal. This test is intended primarily to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria.

- 4. **NCHRP Report 350 test designation 2-33**: A 2000-kg pickup truck impacting the terminal end-on at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 15 degrees with the centerline of the vehicle aligned with the centerline of the nose (i.e., end post) of the terminal. This test is intended primarily to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria.
- 5. NCHRP Report 350 test designation 2-34: An 820-kg passenger car impacting the terminal at a nominal impact speed and angle of 100 km/h and 15 degrees mid-point between the end of the terminal and the beginning of the length-of-need. This test is intended primarily to evaluate occupant risk and vehicle trajectory criteria.
- 6. **NCHRP Report 350 test designation 2-35**: A 2000-kg pickup truck impacting the terminal at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 20 degrees at the beginning of the length-of-need. This structural adequacy test is intended to evaluate the ability of the device to contain and redirect the 2000-kg vehicle.
- 7. NCHRP Report 350 test designation 2-39: A 2000-kg pickup truck impacting the terminal at a nominal impact speed and angle of 70 km/h and 20 degrees mid-point between the nose and the end of the terminal in the reverse direction. This test is intended to evaluate the performance of a terminal for a "reverse" hit.

NETC contracted to perform *NCHRP Report 350* test designations 2-30 and 2-31. These two tests are reported herein.

The crash test and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in *NCHRP Report 350*. Appendix A presents brief descriptions of these procedures.

Evaluation Criteria

The crash tests were evaluated in accordance with the criteria presented in *NCHRP Report 350.* As stated in *NCHRP Report 350*, "Safety performance of a highway appurtenance cannot be measured directly but can be judged on the basis of three factors: structural adequacy, occupant risk, and vehicle trajectory after collision." Safety evaluation criteria from table 5.1 of *NCHRP Report 350* were used to evaluate the crash test reported herein.

CRASH TEST 400401-1 (NCHRP REPORT 350 TEST NO. 2-30)

Test Vehicle

A 1998 Geo Metro, shown in figures 5 and 6, was used for the first crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 820 kg, and its gross static weight was 896 kg. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle front bumper was 400 mm, and the height to the upper edge of the front bumper was 525 mm. Additional dimensions and information on the vehicle are given in appendix B, figure 19. The vehicle was directed into the installation using the cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

Soil and Weather Conditions

The crash test was performed the morning of February 15, 2002. Rainfall of 29 mm was recorded ten days prior to the test. No other rainfall was recorded for the remaining ten days prior to the date of the test. Moisture content of the *NCHRP Report 350* standard soil in which

the NETC MELT was installed was 7.6 percent, 6.2 percent, and 6.9 percent at posts 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: Wind Speed: 13 km/h; Wind Direction: 270 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a northerly direction); Temperature: 16 °C; Relative Humidity: 74 percent.



Impact Description

The 896-kg vehicle, traveling at a speed of 71.5 km/h, impacted the nose of the NETC MELT at 0.6 degrees counterclockwise to the tangent of the length-of-need. The right front quarter point of the vehicle was aligned with the centerline of post 1.

At approximately 0.027 s after impact, post 1 began to move and, at 0.030 s, the rail element at post 3 moved toward traffic lanes. The rail element moved away (toward traffic lanes) from posts 4, 2, 5, and 6 at 0.034 s, 0.041 s, 0.048 s, and 0.050 s, respectively. The vehicle began to redirect at 0.059 s. At 0.088 s, post 1 fractured at ground level and, at 0.093 s and 0.109 s, respectively, the rail element at posts 7 and 8 moved toward traffic lanes. The first elbow (at 490 mm from the beginning of the rail element) formed at 0.113 s, and the second elbow (2040 mm from the beginning of the rail element) formed at 0.115 s. At 0.142 s, post 2 began to move and, at 0.192 s, the third elbow (at 1310 mm from the center of the first rail splice) formed. Post 2 fractured at ground level at 0.195 s and post 3 began to move at 0.295 s. At 0.357 s, post 3 fractured at ground level and, at 0.411 s, the blockout at post 3 separated from the post and rail element. Post 4 mo ved at 0.0446 s and then fractured at ground level at 0.480 s. At 0.692 s, the third elbow contacted the lower frame rail of the vehicle, at which time the vehicle was traveling at a speed of 23.3 km/h.







Figure 5. Vehicle/installation geometrics for test 400401-1.



Figure 6. Vehicle before test 400401-1.

At 0.983 s, the vehicle lost contact with the rail element and was traveling at a speed of 15.5 km/h and an exit angle of 37.6 degrees clockwise to the tangent of the length of need. Brakes on the vehicle were not applied. At 2.975 s, the vehicle subsequently came to rest 4.58 m behind post 6 and 7 (7.75 m downstream of impact) and was oriented at 62.3 degrees clockwise of the tangent of the length of need. Sequential photographs of the test period are shown in appendix C, figures 21 and 22.

Damage to Test Article

Posts 1 through 4 fractured at ground level as shown in figures 7 and 8. Most of the pieces followed along the vehicle path or were thrown behind the terminal. One piece came to rest 3.05 m forward of the face of the rail between posts 14 and 15. The ground strut on the upstream end moved 10 mm and no movement was noted on the downstream terminal. Three elbows formed in the terminal: one 490 mm from the beginning of the rail element, a second 2040 mm from the beginning of the rail element, and a third 1310 mm from the center of the first splice. Maximum deflection of the rail element toward traffic lanes was 1.78 m and maximum deformation occurred over a distance of 3.25 m. Working width was 5.08 m.

Vehicle Damage

The vehicle sustained damage to the front as shown in figure 9. Structural damage was imparted to the right front strut, right front mount, and right front axle, and the firewall and floor pan were deformed. Also damaged were the front bumper, hood, fan, radiator, radiator support, right and left front quarter panels, left front tire and wheel rim, and the left rear tire. The right door was jammed and the windshield sustained stress cracking. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 400 mm at the right front quarter point near bumper height. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 37 mm just to the right of the center firewall area. Photographs of the interior of the vehicle are shown in figure 10. Exterior vehicle crush and occupant compartment measurements are shown in appendix B, tables 3 and 4.

Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the accelerometer, located at the vehicle c.g., were digitized for evaluation of occupant risk and were computed as follows. In the longitudinal direction, the occupant impact velocity was 6.3 m/s at 0.154 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was -8.4 g's from 0.165 to 0.175 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average acceleration was -9.3 g's between 0.019 and 0.069 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s at 0.154 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's from 0.703 to 0.713 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was 3.1 g's between 0.693 and 0.743 s. These data and other pertinent information from the test are summarized in figure 11. Vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces are presented in appendix D, figures 25 through 31.



Figure 7. Vehicle trajectory after test 400401-1.



Figure 8. Installation after test 400401-1.



Figure 9. Vehicle after test 400401-1.



Before Test



Figure 10. Interior of vehicle for test 400401-1.



19

Figure 11. Summary of results for test 400401-1, NCHRP Report 350 test 2-30.

Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *NCHRP Report 350* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

• Structural Adequacy

C. Acceptable test article performance may be by redirection, controlled penetration, or controlled stopping of the vehicle.

<u>Results</u>: The NETC MELT allowed the 820C vehicle to gate through the terminal and come to a controlled stop behind the installation.

• Occupant Risk

D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.

<u>Results</u>: Posts 1 through 4 fractured at ground level but did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment. Most of the pieces of the fractured posts followed along with the vehicle or were thrown behind the installation. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 37 mm in the center front firewall area.

F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.

<u>Results</u>: The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period.

H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following: <u>Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Impact Velocity – m/s</u> <u>Preferred</u> <u>9</u> <u>12</u>

<u>Results</u>: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 6.3 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s.

I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: <u>Longitudinal and Lateral Occupant Ridedown Accelerations – g's</u> <u>Preferred</u> <u>15</u> <u>20</u>

<u>Results</u>: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –8.4 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's.

• Vehicle Trajectory

K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.

<u>Results</u>: The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.

N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.

<u>Results</u>: The vehicle came to rest behind the terminal.

The following supplemental evaluation factors and terminology, as presented in the FHWA memo entitled "Action: Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features," were used for visual assessment of test results:

Passenger Compartment Intrusion

1. Windshield Intrusion

- a. No windshield contact
- b. Windshield contact, no damage
- c. Windshield contact, no intrusion
- d. Device embedded in windshield, no significant intrusion
- 2. Body Panel Intrusion
- Loss of Vehicle Control
 - 1. Physical loss of control
 - 2. Loss of windshield visibility

- e. Complete intrusion into passenger compartment
- f. Partial intrusion into passenger compartment
- yes or no
- Perceived threat to other vehicles
 Debris on pavement
- Physical Threat to Workers or Other Vehicles
 - 1. Harmful debris that could injure workers or others in the area
 - **2. Harmful debris that could injure occupants in other vehicles** Most of the fractured posts and blockouts followed along the vehicle path or were thrown behind the terminal. One piece came to rest 3.05 m forward of the face of the rail between posts 14 and 15

• Vehicle and Device Condition

1. Vehicle Damage

- a. None
- b. Minor scrapes, scratches or dents
- c. Significant cosmetic dents

2. Windshield Damage

- a. None
- b. Minor chip or crack (stress)
- c. Broken, no interference with visibility
- d. Broken or shattered, visibility restricted but remained intact

- d. Major dents to grill and body panels
- e. Major structural damage
- e. Shattered, remained intact but partially dislodged
- f. Large portion removed
- g. Completely removed

3. Device Damage

- a. None
- b. Superficial
- c. Substantial, but can be straightened
- d. Substantial, replacement parts needed for repair e. Cannot be repaired

CRASH TEST 400401-2 (NCHRP REPORT 350 TEST NO. 2-31)

Test Vehicle

A 1997 Chevrolet 2500 pickup truck, shown in figures 12 and 13, was used for the crash test. Test inertia weight of the vehicle was 2044 kg, and its gross static weight was 2044 kg. The height to the lower edge of the vehicle front bumper was 435 mm, and the height to the upper edge of the front bumper was 655 mm. Additional dimensions and information on the vehicle are given in appendix B, figure 20. The vehicle was directed into the installation using the cable reverse tow and guidance system, and was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained just prior to impact.

Soil and Weather Conditions

The crash test was performed the morning of February 20, 2002. Rainfall of 7 mm was recorded one day prior to the test. No other rainfall was recorded for the remaining ten days prior to the date of the test. Moisture content of the *NCHRP Report 350* standard soil in which

the NETC MELT was installed was 9.2 percent. Weather conditions at the time of testing were as follows: Wind Speed: 7 km/h; Wind Direction: 270 degrees with respect to the vehicle (vehicle was traveling in a northerly direction); Temperature: 18 °C; Relative Humidity: 50 percent.



Impact Description

The 2044-kg vehicle, traveling at a speed of 71.6 km/h, impacted the nose of the NETC MELT at 0.2 degrees to the tangent of the length-of-need. The centerline of the vehicle was aligned with the centerline of post 1.

At approximately 0.015 s after impact, the rail element at post 2 began to deflect toward traffic lanes and, at 0.017 s, the first elbow (at 380 mm from the beginning of the rail element) began to form. Post 2 moved at 0.018 s and the rail element at post 3 began to deflect toward traffic lanes at 0.019 s. The rail element began to move away from posts 6, 4, and 5 (toward traffic lanes) at 0.025 s, 0.027 s, and 0.038 s, respectively. At 0.060 s, post 1 fractured at ground level and, at 0.073 s, the second elbow (at 1730 mm from the beginning of the rail element) began to form. The buffer end of the terminal contacted post 2 at 0.086 s and post 2 moved at 0.096 s. The rail element began to move away from posts 7 and 8 (toward traffic lanes) at 0.098 s and 0.114 s, respectively. At 0.128 s, the vehicle began to redirect and, at 0.166 s, post 2 fractured at ground level. The left front tire contacted post 3 at 0.278 s and the post fractured at ground level at 0.298 s. The left front tire contacted post 4 at 0.370 s and post 9 moved at 0.501 s.





Figure 12. Vehicle/installation geometrics for test 400401-2.



Figure 13. Vehicle before test 400401-2.

At 0.591 s, the vehicle lost contact with the rail element at 0.591 s, and was traveling at a speed of 53.8 km/h and an exit angle of 2.2 degrees. Brakes on the vehicle were not applied. As the vehicle continued forward motion, it contacted the rear of post 18 at 1.544 s. The vehicle subsequently came to rest on top of the rail element at post 21 (34.3 m downstream of impact). Sequential photographs of the test period are shown in appendix C, figures 23 and 24.

Damage to Test Article

Posts 1 through 3 fractured at ground level, as shown in figures 14 through 15. Post 1 remained in the buffer head of the terminal and all other debris traveled along the vehicle path. Two elbows formed in the rail element: one 380 mm from the beginning of the rail element and the second 1730 mm from the beginning. The upstream ground strut moved 17 mm and no movement was noted in the downstream terminal. Posts 14 through 20 were rotated from the secondary impact.

Vehicle Damage

Damage to the vehicle was restricted to the front of the vehicle, as shown in figure 16. The stabilizer bar and the left and right front of the frame were deformed. Also damaged were the front bumper, fan, and radiator. Maximum exterior crush to the vehicle was 340 mm at the center front at bumper height. No deformation of the occupant compartment occurred. Photographs of the interior of the vehicle are shown in figure 17. Exterior vehicle crush and occupant compartment measurements are shown in appendix B, tables 5 and 6.

Occupant Risk Factors

Data from the triaxial accelerometer, located at the vehicle c.g., were digitized to compute occupant impact velocity and ridedown accelerations. In the longitudinal direction, occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s at 0.244 s, maximum 0.010-s ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's from 0.509 to 0.519 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was -3.7 g's between 0.025 and 0.075 s. In the lateral direction, the occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s at 0.244 s, the highest 0.010-s occupant ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's from 0.350 to 0.360 s, and the maximum 0.050-s average was 1.9 g's between 0.018 and 0.068 s. These data and other information pertinent to the test are presented in figure 18. Vehicle angular displacements and accelerations versus time traces are shown in appendix D, figures 32 through 38.


Figure 14. Vehicle trajectory after test 400401-2.







Figure 15. Installation after test 400401-2.



Figure 16. Vehicle after test 400401-2.



Before Test



Figure 17. Interior of vehicle for test 400401-2.

After Test



Figure 18. Summary of results for test 400401-2, NCHRP Report 350 test 2-31.

Assessment of Test Results

An assessment of the test based on the applicable *NCHRP Report 350* safety evaluation criteria is provided below.

• Structural Adequacy

C. Acceptable test article performance may be by redirection, controlled penetration, or controlled stopping of the vehicle.

<u>Results</u>: The NETC MELT allowed the 2000P vehicle to gate through the terminal.

Occupant Risk

D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformation of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.

<u>Results</u>: Posts 1 through 3 fractured at ground level but did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment. All of the pieces of the fractured posts followed along with the vehicle. No deformation of the occupant compartment occurred.

F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.

<u>Results</u>: The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period.

H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following:

Longitudinal and Lateral Oc	cupant Impact Velocity – m/s
Preferred	Maximum
9	12

<u>Results</u>: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s.

I.	Occupant ridedown accelerations sho	cupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following:					
	Longitudinal and Lateral Oc	ccupant Ridedown Accelerations – g's					
	<u>Preferred</u>	<u>Maximum</u>					
	15	20					

<u>Results</u>: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's.

• Vehicle Trajectory

K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.

<u>Results</u>: The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes as it came to rest behind and atop the installation.

N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.

<u>Results</u>: The vehicle came to rest behind the installation.

The following supplemental evaluation factors and terminology, as presented in the FHWA memo entitled "Action: Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features," were used for visual assessment of test results:

Passenger Compartment Intrusion

1. Windshield Intrusion

- a. No windshield contact
- b. Windshield contact, no damage
- c. Windshield contact, no intrusion
- d. Device embedded in windshield, no significant intrusion
- 2. Body Panel Intrusion
- Loss of Vehicle Control
 - 1. Physical loss of control
 - 2. Loss of windshield visibility

- e. Complete intrusion into Passenger compartment
- f. Partial intrusion into Passenger compartment
- yes or no
- Perceived threat to other vehicles
 Debris on pavement
- Physical Threat to Workers or Other Vehicles
 - **1.** Harmful debris that could injure workers or others in the area
 - **2. Harmful debris that could injure occupants in other vehicles** The fractured posts followed along with the vehicle and did not pose any more of a threat than the vehicle.

• Vehicle and Device Condition

1. Vehicle Damage

- a. None
- b. Minor scrapes, scratches or dents
- c. Significant cosmetic dents

2. Windshield Damage

- a. None
- b. Minor chip or crack
- c. Broken, no interference with visibility
- d. Broken or shattered, visibility restricted but remained intact

- d. Major dents to grill and body panels
- e. Major structural damage
- e. Shattered, remained intact but partially dislodged
- f. Large portion removed
- g. Completely removed

3. Device Damage

- a. None
- b. Superficial
- c. Substantial, but can be straightened
- d. Substantial, replacement parts needed for repair e. Cannot be repaired

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

NCHRP Report 350 Test 2-30

The NETC MELT allowed the 820C vehicle to gate through the terminal and come to a controlled stop behind the installation. Posts 1 through 4 fractured at ground level but did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment. Most of the pieces of the fractured posts followed along with the vehicle or were thrown behind the installation. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 37 mm in the center front firewall area. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period. Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 6.3 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -8.4 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes as it came to rest behind the terminal.

NCHRP Report 350 Test 2-31

The NETC MELT allowed the 2000P vehicle to gate through the terminal. Posts 1 through 3 fractured at ground level but did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment. All of the pieces of the fractured posts followed along with the vehicle. No deformation of the occupant compartment occurred. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period. Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes as it came to rest behind and atop the installation.

CONCLUSIONS

As shown in tables 1 and 2, the NETC MELT met the required criteria for *NCHRP Report 350* test designations 2-30 and 2-31.

Test Agency: Texas Transportation Institute				Test No.: 400401-1	Test Date: 02/15/2002
	NCHRP Report 350	Evaluation Cri	iteria	Test Results	Assessment
Stru	ctural Adequacy				
C.	Acceptable test article perfection or c	ormance may be controlled stoppin	by redirection, ng of the vehicle.	The NETC MELT allowed the 820C vehicle to gate through the terminal and come to a controlled stop behind the installation.	Pass
Occi	apant Risk				
D.	Detached elements, fragme article should not penetrate penetrating the occupant co hazard to other traffic, pede zone. Deformations of, or compartment that could ca permitted.	nts, or other deb or show potenti ompartment, or p estrians, or perso intrusions into, 1 use serious injur	ris from the test al for present an undue nnel in a work the occupant ies should not be	Posts 1 through 4 fractured at ground level but did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment. Most of the pieces of the fractured posts followed along with the vehicle or were thrown behind the installation. Maximum occupant compartment deformation was 37 mm in the center front firewall area.	Pass
F.	The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.		The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period.	Pass	
H.	Occupant impact velocities	s should satisfy t	he following:		
	Occupant Ve	elocity Limits (m	1/s)	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 6.3 m/s	Pass
	Component	Preferred	Maximum	and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s.	1 455
	Longitudinal and lateral	9	12		
I.	I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Component Preferred Maximum		Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was –8.4 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's.	Pass	
	Longitudinal and lateral	15	20		
Vehicle Trajectory K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.			cle's trajectory	The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes.	Pass*
N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable.			acceptable.	The vehicle came to rest behind the terminal.	Pass

Table 1. Performance evaluation summary for test 400401-1, NCHRP Report 350 test 2-30.

*Criterion K is preferable, not required.

NCHRP Report 350 Evaluation CriteriaTest ResultsAssessmentStructural AdequacyThe NETC MELT allowed the 2000P vehicle to gate through the terminal.PassC.Acceptable test article performance may be by redirection, controlled penetration, or controlled stopping of the vehicle.The NETC MELT allowed the 2000P vehicle to gate through the terminal.PassOccupant Risk D.Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted.Posts 1 through 3 fractured at ground level but did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment cocurred.PassF.The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable.The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period.PassI.Occupant Velocity Limits (m/s) Longitudinal and lateralLongitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s.PassI.Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) ComponentLongitudinal ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's.PassVehicle Trajectory K.After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intude into adjacent traffic lanes as it came to rest behind the installation.Pass	Test Agency: Texas Transportation Institute				Test No.: 400401-2	Test Date: 02/20/2002
Structural Adequacy Image: C. Acceptable test article performance may be by redirection, controlled stopping of the vehicle. Controlled penetration, or controlled stopping of the vehicle. The NETC MELT allowed the 2000P vehicle to gate through the terminal. Pass Occupant Risk D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, nor present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted. Posts 1 through 3 fractured at ground level but did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment. All of the pieces of the fractured posts followed along with the vehicle. No deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted. Posts 1 through 3 fractured at ground level but did not penetrate nor show potential for penetration the vehicle. No deformation of the occupant compartment occurred. Pass F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period. Pass I. Occupant Velocity Limits (m's) Longitudinal and lateral 9 12 I. Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Vehicle Trajectory K. After collision, i		NCHRP Report 350	Evaluation Cr	iteria	Test Results	Assessment
C. Acceptable test article performance may be by redirection, controlled stopping of the vehicle. The NETC MELT allowed the 2000P vehicle to gate through the terminal. Pass Occupant Risk Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment. All of the pieces of the redirection of the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted. Posts 1 through 3 fractured posts followed along with the vehicle. No deformation of the occupant compartment occurred. Pass F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle remained upright during and after collision period. Pass M. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral 9 12 Pass I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral 15 20 and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Vehicle Trajectory Not kit k redicies trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass	Stru	ctural Adequacy				
Controlled penetration, or controlled stopping of the vehicle. gate through the terminal. Pail of the vehicle. Occupant Risk D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate nor show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate nor show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine that could cause serious injuries should not penetrate or show potential for penetratine the collision period. Pass F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision period. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period. Pass I. Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Should satisfy the following:	C.	Acceptable test article perf	ormance may be	e by redirection,	The NETC MELT allowed the 2000P vehicle to	Pass
Occupant Risk Posta L through 3 fractured at ground level but did not penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment. All of the pieces of the fractured posts followed along with the vehicle. No deformation of the occupant compartment occurred. Pass F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision period. Pass H. Occupant Velocity Limits (m/s) The vehicle should and lateral Pass I. Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal and lateral 9 12 I. Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral Pass Vehicle Trajectory K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass		controlled penetration, or c	ontrolled stopping	ng of the vehicle.	gate through the terminal.	1 455
D. Detached elements, fragments, or other debris from the test article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment. All of the pieces of the fractured posts followed along with the vehicle. No deformation of the occupant compartment occurred. Pass F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision period. The vehicle should astisfy the following: Pass Queption Occupant Velocity Limits (m/s) Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral 9 12 Pass I. Occupant ridedown acceleration should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral 15 20 Pass Vehicle Trajectory K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass	Occ	upant Risk				
article should not penetrate or show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted. not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the occupant compartment. All of the pieces of the fractured posts followed along with the vehicle. No deformation of the occupant compartment occurred. Pass F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period. Pass H. Occupant Velocity Limits (m/s) Component Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Pass I. Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Component Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Vehicle Trajectory K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes as it came to rest behind the installation. Pass*	D.	Detached elements, fragme	nts, or other del	bris from the test	Posts 1 through 3 fractured at ground level but did	
penetrating the occupant compartment, or present an undue occupant compartment. All of the pieces of the hazard to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. Deformations of, or intrusions into, the occupant fractured posts followed along with the vehicle. No Pass F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle remained upright during and after collision period. Pass H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral 9 12 I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Pass I. Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Vehicle Trajectory K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the installation. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass*		article should not penetrate	or show potenti	al for	not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the	
Induction of the trainer, procession of the vehicle in a work compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted. Final the vehicle intervention of the occupant compartment occurred. Intervention of the occupant compartment occurred. F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period. Pass H. Occupant Velocity Limits (m/s) Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Pass Component Preferred Maximum Longitudinal and lateral oponent into the vehicle's trajectory into intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Vehicle Trajectory K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Intervehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass		penetrating the occupant co	mpartment, or p	bresent an undue	occupant compartment. All of the pieces of the	Dass
Difference Deformations of, of mutusions into, the occupant compartment that could cause serious injuries should not be permitted. Intervention of the occupant compartment occurred. F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period. Pass H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following: Component Preferred Maximum Longitudinal and lateral 9 12 Intervent ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Vehicle Trajectory The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass		zona Deformations of or	intrusions into a	the occupant	deformation of the occupant compartment occurred	F 455
F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period. Pass H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral Pass Component Preferred Maximum Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Pass I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral Pass I. Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral Pass Vehicle Trajectory The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass		compartment that could car	indusions into, in interview in the serious in interview in the serious in the se	ies should not be	deformation of the occupant compartment occurred.	
F. The vehicle should remain upright during and after collision although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision period. Pass H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral Pass Occupant Velocity Limits (m/s) Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Pass Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral Pass Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Vehicle Trajectory Reference The vehicle's trajectory and lateral The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass		permitted.	use serious injui			
although moderate roll, pitching, and yawing are acceptable. collision period. Pass H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Pass Component Preferred Maximum and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Pass I. Occupant Ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal and lateral 15 20 Vehicle Trajectory K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass	F.	The vehicle should remain	upright during a	nd after collision	The vehicle remained upright during and after the	
acceptable. Image: constraint of the c		although moderate roll, pite	ching, and yawi	ng are	collision period.	Pass
H. Occupant impact velocities should satisfy the following: Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Pass I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal and lateral 15 20 Vehicle Trajectory The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass		acceptable.		0	•	
Occupant Velocity Limits (m/s) Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s and lateral occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s. Pass Component Preferred Maximum and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Pass I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's. And lateral ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's. Pass Occupant Ridedow Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Component Preferred Maximum Longitudinal and lateral 15 Longitudinal and lateral 15 20 The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass	H.	Occupant impact velocities	should satisfy t	he following:		
Component Preferred Maximum and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s. Longitudinal and lateral 9 12 I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Occupant Ridedown Preferred Maximum Maximum Pass Longitudinal and lateral 15 20 Pass Pass Vehicle Trajectory The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass		Occupant Ve	elocity Limits (n	n/s)	Longitudinal occupant impact velocity was 4.2 m/s	Pass
Longitudinal and lateral 9 12 I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Longitudinal and lateral 15 20 Vehicle Trajectory K. After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass		Component	Preferred	Maximum	and lateral occupant impact velocity was 1.0 m/s.	
I. Occupant ridedown accelerations should satisfy the following: Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) Image: component of the preferred		Longitudinal and lateral	9	12		
Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g's Occupant Ridedown Acceleration Limits (g's) and lateral ridedown acceleration was 3.6 g's. Pass Component Preferred Maximum Pass Longitudinal and lateral 15 20 Pass Vehicle Trajectory The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass*	I.	Occupant ridedown acceler	ations should sa	tisfy the		
Component Preferred Maximum And fateral fidedown acceleration was 5.6 g s. Pass Longitudinal and lateral 15 20 Image: Component of the second secon		tollowing:			Longitudinal ridedown acceleration was -3.7 g/s	D
Component Preferred Maximum Longitudinal and lateral 15 20 Vehicle Trajectory The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass		Occupant Ridedown	Draformad	imits (g s)	and lateral fidedown acceleration was 5.0 g s.	Pass
Vehicle Trajectory The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass		Longitudinal and lateral	15	20		
Venicie Trajectory After collision, it is preferable that the vehicle's trajectory not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. Pass* N. Vehicle trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass*	Longitudinai and faterai 1.5 20			20		
N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass*	$\frac{V \text{ enicle 1} \text{ rajectory}}{K}$			cle's trajectory	The vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic	
N. Vehick trajectory behind the test article is acceptable. The vehicle came to rest behind the installation. Pass	11.	not intrude into adjacent tra	affic lanes.	ere s'aujectory	lanes as it came to rest behind the installation	Pass*
	N.	Vehicle trajectory behind the	ne test article is	acceptable.	The vehicle came to rest behind the installation.	Pass

Table 2. Performance evaluation summary for test 400401-2, NCHRP Report 350 test 2-31.

*Criterion K is preferable, not required.

APPENDIX A. CRASH TEST PROCEDURES AND DATA ANALYSIS

The crash test and data analysis procedures were in accordance with guidelines presented in *NCHRP Report 350*. Brief descriptions of these procedures are presented as follows.

ELECTRONIC INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA PROCESSING

The test vehicle was instrumented with three solid-state angular rate transducers to measure roll, pitch, and yaw rates; a triaxial accelerometer near the vehicle center of gravity (c.g.) to measure longitudinal, lateral, and vertical acceleration levels; and a back-up biaxial accelerometer in the rear of the vehicle to measure longitudinal and lateral acceleration levels. These accelerometers were ENDEVCO Model 2262CA, piezoresistive accelerometers with a ± 100 g range.

The accelerometers are strain gage type with a linear millivolt output proportional to acceleration. Angular rate transducers are solid state, gas flow units designed for high-"g" service. Signal conditioners and amplifiers in the test vehicle increase the low level signals to a ± 2.5 volt maximum level. The signal conditioners also provide the capability of an R-Cal or shunt calibration for the accelerometers and a precision voltage calibration for the rate transducers. The electronic signals from the accelerometers and rate transducers are transmitted to a base station by means of a 15 channel, constant bandwidth, Inter-Range Instrumentation Group (I.R.I.G.), FM/FM telemetry link for recording on magnetic tape and for display on a real-time strip chart. Calibration signals from the test vehicle are recorded before the test and immediately afterwards. A crystal controlled time reference signal is simultaneously recorded with the data. Pressure-sensitive switches on the bumper of the impacting vehicle are actuated prior to impact by wooden dowels to indicate the elapsed time over a known distance to provide a measurement of impact velocity. The initial contact also produces and "event" mark on the data record to establish the instant of contact with the installation.

The multiplex of data channels, transmitted on one radio frequency, is received and demultiplexed onto separate tracks of a 28 track (I.R.I.G.) tape recorder. After the test, the data are played back from the tape machine and digitized. A proprietary software program (WinDigit) converts the analog data from each transducer into engineering units using the R0cal and pre-zero valued at 10,000 samples per second per channel. WinDigit also provides SAE J211 class 180 phaseless digital filtering and vehicle impact velocity.

All accelerometers are calibrated annually according to SAE J211 Mar95 *4.6.1* by means of an ENDEVCO 2901, precision primary vibration standard. This device and its support instruments are returned to the factory annually for a National Institute of Standards Technology (NIST) traceable calibration. The subsystems of each data channel are also evaluated annually, using instruments with current NIST traceability, and the results factored into the accuracy of the total data channel, per SAE J211. Calibrations and evaluations are made any time data is suspect.

The Test Risk Assessment Program (TRAP) used the data from WinDigit to compute occupant compartment impact velocities, time of occupant compartment impact after vehicle

impact, and the highest 10-ms average ridedown acceleration. WinDigit calculates change in vehicle velocity at the end of a give impulse period. In addition, maximum average accelerations over 50-ms intervals in each of the three directions are computed. For reporting purposes, the data from the vehicle-mounted accelerometers are filtered with a 60-Hz digital filter and acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions are plotted using TRAP.

TRAP uses the data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate transducers to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.0001-s intervals and then plots: yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation of the vehicle-fixed coordinate system being initial impact.

ANTHORPOMORPHIC DUMMY INSTRUMENTATION

An Alderson Research Laboratories Hybrid II, 50th percentile male anthropomorphic dummy, restrained with lap and shoulder belts, was placed in the driver's position of the 820C vehicle. The dummy was uninstrumented. Use of a dummy in the 2000P vehicle is optional according to *NCHRP Report 350* and there was no dummy used in the test with the 2000P vehicle.

PHOTOGRAPHIC INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA PROCESSING

Photographic coverage of the test included three high-speed cameras: one overhead with a field of view perpendicular to the ground and directly over the impact point; one placed behind the installation at an angle; and a third placed to have a field of view parallel to and aligned with the installation at the downstream end. A flash bulb activated by pressure-sensitive tape switches is positioned on the impacting vehicle to indicate the instant of contact with the installation and is visible from each camera. The films from these high-speed cameras were analyzed on a computer-linked Motion Analyzer to observe phenomena occurring during the collision and to obtain event time, displacement, and angular data. A BetaCam, a VHS-format video camera, and still cameras were used to document conditions of the test vehicle and installation before and after the test.

TEST VEHICLE PROPULSION AND GUIDANCE

The test vehicle was towed into the test installation using a steel cable guidance and reverse tow system. A steel cable for guiding the test vehicle is tensioned along the path, anchored at each end, and threaded through an attachment to the front wheel of the test vehicle. An additional steel cable is connected to the test vehicle, passed around a pulley near the impact point, through a pulley on the tow vehicle, and then anchored to the ground so the tow vehicle moves away from the test site. A two-to-one speed ratio between the test and tow vehicle exists with this system. Just prior to impact with the installation, the test vehicle was released to be free-wheeling and unrestrained. The vehicle remains free-wheeling, i.e., no steering or braking inputs, until the vehicle clears the immediate area of the test sits, at which time brakes on the vehicle are activated bringing it to a safe and controlled stop.

APPENDIX B. TEST VEHICLE PROPERTIES AND INFORMATION



Figure 19. Vehicle properties for test 400401-1.

Table 3. Exterior crush measurements for test 400401-1.

Complete Wh	en Applicable
End Damage	Side Damage
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2
A2	
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant
(check one)	X1 + X2 _
< 4 inches	2
\geq 4 inches	

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET¹

Note: Measure C_1 to C_6 from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

Caracifia		Direct I	Damage								
Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C1	C ₂	C ₃	C4	C ₅	C ₆	±D
1	Front bumper	800	400	1380	50	110	210	290	310	400	0

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Table 4. Occupant compartment measurements for test 400401-1.

Small Car

Occupant Compartment Deformation







	BEFORE	AFTER
A1	1430	1430
A2	2005	2005
A3	1435	1435
B1	967	967
B2	920	924
B3	1002	1015
B4	930	930
B5	907	907
B6	938	938
B7		
B8		
B9		
C1	561	555
C2	695	658
C3	560	540
D1	246	230
D2	142	142
D3	246	260
E1	1217	1222
E2	1177	1175
F	1210	1210
G	1210	1210
Н	900	900
I	900	900
J	1187	1195



Figure 20. Vehicle properties for test 400401-2.

Table 5. Exterior crush measurements for test 400401-2.

Complete Wh	en Applicable
End Damage	Side Damage
Undeformed end width	Bowing: B1 X1
Corner shift: A1	B2 X2
A2	
End shift at frame (CDC)	Bowing constant
(check one)	X1 + X2 _
< 4 inches	2
\geq 4 inches	

VEHICLE CRUSH MEASUREMENT SHEET¹

Note: Measure C_1 to C_6 from Driver to Passenger side in Front or Rear impacts – Rear to Front in Side Impacts.

Caracifia		Direct I	Damage								
Impact Number	Plane* of C-Measurements	Width** (CDC)	Max*** Crush	Field L**	C1	C ₂	C ₃	C4	C ₅	C ₆	±D
1	Front bumper	600	340	-1730	-120	-180	-200	-240	-70	+30	0

¹Table taken from National Accident Sampling System (NASS).

*Identify the plane at which the C-measurements are taken (e.g., at bumper, above bumper, at sill, above sill, at beltline, etc.) or label adjustments (e.g., free space).

Free space value is defined as the distance between the baseline and the original body contour taken at the individual C locations. This may include the following: bumper lead, bumper taper, side protrusion, side taper, etc. Record the value for each C-measurement and maximum crush.

**Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the beginning or end of the direct damage width and field L (e.g., side damage with respect to undamaged axle).

***Measure and document on the vehicle diagram the location of the maximum crush.

Note: Use as many lines/columns as necessary to describe each damage profile.

Table 6. Occupant compartment measurements for test 400401-2.

Truck

Occupant Compartment Deformation







	BEFORE	AFTER
A1	872	872
A2	931	931
A3	910	910
B1	1075	1075
B2	1046	1046
B3	1075	1075
C1	1375	1375
C2	531	531
C3	1370	1370
D1	313	313
D2	157	157
D3	315	315
E1	1593	1593
E2	1583	1583
F	1460	1460
G	1460	1460
Н	900	900
Ι	900	900
J	1525	1525

APPENDIX C. SEQUENTIAL PHOTOGRAPHS





0.000 s



0.045 s











Figure 21. Sequential photographs for test 400401-1 (overhead and frontal views).













0.721 s











Figure 21. Sequential photographs for test 400401-1 (overhead and frontal views) (continued).



0.000 s



0.045 s



0.090 s



0.180 s



0.361 s



0.721 s



1.442 s



2.975 s









0.049 s







0.123 s





0.307 s

Figure 23. Sequential photographs for test 400401-2 (overhead and frontal views).







1.227 s

















Figure 23. Sequential photographs for test 400401-2 (overhead and frontal views) (continued).



0.000 s



0.613 s





0.123 s



1.227 s



2.454 s







4.846 s

Figure 24. Sequential photographs for test 400401-2 (rear view).



Roll, Pitch and Yaw Angles

Figure 25. Vehicle angular displacements for test 400401-1.

APPENDIX D. VEHICLE ANGULAR DISPLACEMENTS AND ACCELERATIONS



X Acceleration at CG

Figure 26. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 400401-1 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).



Y Acceleration at CG

Figure 27. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 400401-1 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).



Figure 28. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 400401-1 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).

Z Acceleration at CG



X Acceleration Over Rear Axle

Figure 29. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 400401-1 (accelerometer located over rear axle).



Figure 30. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 400401-1 (accelerometer located over rear axle).



Figure 31. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 400401-1 (accelerometer located over rear axle).



Figure 32. Vehicle angular displacements for test 400401-2.



X Acceleration at CG

Figure 33. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 400401-2 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).



Y Acceleration at CG

Figure 34. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 400401-2 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).


Z Acceleration at CG

Figure 35. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 400401-2 (accelerometer located at center of gravity).



X Acceleration Over Rear Axle

Figure 36. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 400401-2 (accelerometer located over rear axle).



Y Acceleration Over Rear Axle

Figure 37. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 400401-2 (accelerometer located over rear axle).

65



Z Acceleration Over Rear Axle

Figure 38. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 400401-2 (accelerometer located over rear axle).

REFERENCES

- King K. Mak, Roger P. Bligh, and Wanda L. Menges, *Testing of State Roadside Systems*, Volume I: Technical Report, FHWA-RD-98-036, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C., February 1998.
- 2. Dean C. Alberson, D. Lance Bullard, Jr., and Wanda L. Menges, *Testing and Evaluation of The Modified MELT*, TTI Report No. 405541-1, Texas Transportation Institute, The Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, January 1997.
- 3. King K. Mak, Roger P. Bligh, and Wanda L. Menges, *Testing and Evaluation of the MELT2 Terminal*, FHWA Contract DTFH61-95-C-00138, Texas Transportation Institute, The Texas A&M University System, College Station, TX, August 1996.
- 4. C. Eugene Buth, Wanda L. Menges, and Sandra K. Schoeneman, *Roadside Safety Hardware Tested in Accordance with NCHRP Report 350*, FHWA-RD-01-082, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C., February 2001.
- H.E. Ross, Jr., D.L. Sicking, R.A. Zimmer and J.D. Michie, *Recommended Procedures* for the Safety Performance Evaluation of Highway Features, National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 350, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1993.
- 6. King K. Mak, Roger P. Bligh, and Wanda C. Menges, *W-Beam Slotted Rail End Terminal Design*, TTI Report No. 220530, Texas Transportation Institute, The Texas A&M University System, College Station, TX, May 1994.
- King K. Mak, Hayes E. Ross, Jr., Roger P. Bligh, and Wanda L. Menges, NCHRP Report 350 Compliance Testing of the W-Beam Slotted Rail Terminal, TTI Report No. 220530 & 220536, Texas Transportation Institute, The Texas A&M University System, College Station, TX, October 1995.
- 8. King K. Mak, Hayes E. Ross, Jr., Roger P. Bligh, and Wanda L. Menges, *Optimization of the W-Beam Slotted Rail Terminal*, TTI Report No. 20546, Texas Transportation Institute, The Texas A&M University System, College Station, TX, May 1998.
- Hayes E. Ross, Jr., Wanda L. Menges, and D. Lance Bullard, Jr., NCHRP Report 350 Compliance Tests of the ET-2000, TTI Report 220510 & 220537, Texas Transportation Institute, The Texas A&M University System, College Station, TX, August 1995.
- 10. Beam Eating Steel Terminal (BEST), http://www.unl.edu/matc/mwrswhat.htm, Midwest Roadside Safety Facility, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NB.
- 11. Sequential Kinking Terminal (SKT-350), http://www.roadsystems.com, Road Systems Inc., 3616 Old Howard County Airport, Big Spring, TX.

12. Flared Energy Absorbing Terminal (FLEAT-350), http://www.roadsystems.com, Road Systems Inc., 3616 Old Howard County Airport, Big Spring, TX.